

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
DALLAS DIVISION**

JUAN CABRALES, individually and on behalf of all
other similarly situated;

Plaintiff,

v.

MIDLAND CREDIT MANAGEMENT INC.

Defendant.

Civil Action No: 3:20-cv-1703

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

NOW COMES, Plaintiff JUAN CABRALES (“Plaintiff”), by and through his attorneys, the SHAWN JAFFER LAW FIRM PLLC, and brings this Class Action Complaint against Defendant MIDLAND CREDIT MANAGEMENT INC. (“MCM” or “Defendant”), individually and on behalf of a class of all others similarly situated, pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, based upon information and belief of counsel, except for allegations specifically pertaining to Plaintiff, which are based upon Plaintiff’s personal knowledge.

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. This is a class action for actual and statutory damages, injunctive relief, costs, and attorney’s fees pursuant to 15 USC §1692 *et seq.* (“FDCPA”).

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. This Court has jurisdiction over this action and all counts under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1337 and 15 U.S.C. § 1692k.

3. Venue in this District is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)(2) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to this claim occurred here.

PARTIES

Plaintiff:

4. Plaintiff is a natural person residing at 128 Anderson Road, Red Oaks, Texas 75154.

5. Plaintiff is allegedly obligated to pay a debt to the Defendant.

6. Plaintiff is a “Consumer” meaning any natural person obligated or allegedly obligated to pay any debt.

7. Plaintiff is allegedly obligated to pay a debt to MCM, a balance of \$15,402.32 for a personal Synchrony Bank credit card.

8. The “Current Creditor” is, Midland Funding LLC.

9. The alleged debt at issue is an obligation or alleged obligation of a Consumer to pay money arising out of a transaction in which the money, property, or services which are the subject of the transaction are primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.

Midland Credit Management, Inc.:

10. Defendant MCM is a collection agency headquartered San Diego, California and can be served on Corporation Service Company at 211 E 7th Street Suite 620, Austin, TX 78701.

11. Defendant MCM is a “Debt Collector” meaning any person who uses any instrumentality of interstate commerce or the mails in any business the principal purpose of which is the collection of any debts, or who regularly collects or attempts to collect, directly or indirectly, debts owed or due another.

12. Defendant MCM engages in interstate commerce by regularly using telephone and mail in a business whose principal purpose is the collection of debts.

13. At all times material hereto, Defendant MCM was acting as a debt collector in respect to the collection of Plaintiff's alleged debts.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

14. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs in this complaint as though fully set forth herein.

15. Plaintiff brings this claim on behalf of the following class, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) and 23(b)(3).

16. The Class consists of:

- (1) all individuals with addresses in the State of Texas;
- (2) to whom Defendants sent a collection letter attempting to collect a consumer debt;
- (3) where Defendant wrote "we will satisfy the judgment in full upon receipt of payment based on the balance stated in this letter" or Defendant's internal letter number 039804; and
- (4) which letter was sent on or after a date one (1) year prior to the filing of this action and on or before a date twenty-one (21) days after the filing of this action.

17. The identities of all class members are readily ascertainable from the records of the Defendants and those companies and entities on whose behalf they attempt to collect and/or have purchased debts.

18. Excluded from the Plaintiff Classes are the Defendants' and all officer, members, partners, managers, directors and employees of the Defendants and their respective immediate

families, and legal counsel for all parties to this action, and all members of their immediate families.

19. There are questions of law and fact common to the Plaintiff Classes, which common issues predominate over any issues involving only individual class members. The principal issue is whether the Defendants' written communications to consumers, in the forms attached as Exhibit "A", violates 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e *et seq.*

20. The Plaintiff's claims are typical of the class members, as all are based upon the same facts and legal theories. The Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Plaintiff Classes defined in this complaint. The Plaintiff has retained counsel with experience in handling consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues, and class actions, and neither the Plaintiff nor her attorneys have any interests, which might cause them not to vigorously pursue this action.

21. This action has been brought, and may properly be maintained, as a class action pursuant to the provisions of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure because there is a well-defined community interest in the litigation:

(1) **Numerosity:** The Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleged, that the Plaintiff Classes defined above are so numerous that joinder of all members would be impractical.

(2) **Common Questions Predominate:** Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Plaintiff Classes and those questions have predominance over any questions or issues involving only individual class members. The principal issue is whether the Defendants' written communications to consumers, in the forms attached as Exhibit "A" violate 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e *et seq.*

- (3) **Typicality**: The Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the class members.

The Plaintiff and all members of the Plaintiff Classes have claims arising out of the Defendants' common uniform course of conduct complained of herein.

- (4) **Adequacy**: The Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class members insofar as Plaintiff has no interests adverse to the absent class members.

The Plaintiff is committed to vigorously litigating this matter. Plaintiff has also retained counsel experienced in handling consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues, and class actions. Neither the Plaintiff nor his counsel has any interests which might cause them not to vigorously pursue the instant class action lawsuit.

- (5) **Superiority**: A class action is superior to the other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy because an individual joinder of all members would be impracticable. Class action treatment will permit a large number of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum efficiently and without unnecessary duplication of effort and expense that individual actions would engender.

22. Certification of a class under Rule 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is also appropriate in that the questions of law and fact common to members of the Plaintiff Classes predominate over any questions affecting an individual member, and a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.

23. Depending on the outcome of further investigation and discovery, Plaintiff may, at the time of class certification motion, seek to certify a class(es) only as to particular issues pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c)(4).

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

Violation – Collection Letter Dated May 1, 2020

24. On or about May the 1st, 2020, MCM sent Plaintiff a collection letter (“Letter”) to collect an alleged debt owed to Midland Funding LLC in the amount of \$15,402.32 for an alleged debt from Synchrony Bank. A true can copy of the Letter is attached hereto as Exhibit “A”.

25. The Letter falsely and deceptively misrepresented to the Plaintiff and putative class that there was an existing judgment against the Plaintiff which could be satisfied by paying the amount offered on the Letter. However, no such judgment existed and the Legal Collections Account Number gave the false representation that it was civil action cause number.

26. The Defendants have used false, deceptive or misleading representations or means in the collection of the alleged debt when they provided false, deceptive or misleading statements in an attempt to collect a debt.

COUNT I

**VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT 15
U.S.C. §1692e et seq. Against Defendant Midland Credit Management, Inc.**

27. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs in this complaint as though fully set forth herein.

28. Defendant’s debt collection efforts against Plaintiff violated various provisions of the FDCPA.

29. Section §1692e provides a non-exhaustive list of false, deceptive, and misleading conduct prohibited by the statute including:

- (1) A debt collector may not use any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt, 15 U.S.C. §1692e;

- i. The false representation of – the character, amount, or **legal status** of any debt, 15 U.S.C. §1692e(2); and
- ii. The use of any false representation or deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect any debt or to obtain information concerning a consumer. 15 U.S.C. §1692e(10)

30. Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. §1692e, e(2), and e(10) when the Defendant mailed Plaintiff the deceptive Letter on or about May 1, 2020.

31. For these reasons, the Defendant is liable to the Plaintiff for actual and statutory damages, costs, and attorney's fees.

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

32. Plaintiff requests a trial by jury on all issues and counts so triable, pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, requests that the Court enter judgment in favor of Plaintiff and the putative class and against Defendant for:

- (1) Declaring that this action is properly maintainable as a Class Action and certifying Plaintiff as Class Representative, and Shawn Jaffer, Esq. and Shayan Elahi, Esq. as Class Counsel;
- (2) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class statutory damages;
- (3) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class actual damages to be determined by a jury;
- (4) Awarding Plaintiff costs of this Action, including reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses;
- (5) Awarding pre-judgment interest and post-judgment interest; and

(6) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class such other or further relief as the Court
deems proper.

DATED: June 26, 2020

Respectfully Submitted,

SHAWN JAFFER LAW FIRM PLLC

/s/ Shawn Jaffer.

Shawn Jaffer

Texas Bar No.: 24107817

Email: shawn@jaffer.law

Shayan Elahi

Texas Bar No.: 24080485

Email: shayan@jaffer.law

13601 Preston Rd E770

Dallas, Texas 75240

T: (214) 494-1668

F: (469) 669-0786

Attorneys for Plaintiff and putative class